

1 Maria K. Nelson (State Bar No. 155608)  
2 JONES, DAY, REAVIS & POGUE  
3 555 West Fifth Street  
Suite 4600  
4 Los Angeles, California 90013-1025  
Telephone: (213) 489-3939  
Facsimile: (213) 243-2539

5 Blaney Harper (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
JONES, DAY, REAVIS & POGUE  
6 51 Louisiana Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20001-2113  
7 Telephone: (202) 879-3939  
Facsimile: (202) 626-1700

8 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
9 NETWORK CACHING TECHNOLOGY, L.L.C.

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

12  
13 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

14  
15  
16 NETWORK CACHING  
TECHNOLOGY, L.L.C.,

17 Plaintiff,

18 v.

19 NOVELL, INC., VOLERA, INC.,  
20 AKAMAI TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,  
CACHEFLOW INC., AND INKTOMI  
21 CORPORATION,

22 Defendants.

23 Case No. CV-01-2079 (VRW)

24 DECLARATION OF LAURA T. GEYER IN  
SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF NETWORK  
CACHING TECHNOLOGY, L.L.C.'S  
MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO  
DEFENDANTS NOVELL, INC. AND  
VOLERA, INC.'S MOTION TO STRIKE  
PLAINTIFF'S SECOND REVISED  
PRELIMINARY INFRINGEMENT  
CONTENTIONS

25 Date: June 27, 2002  
26 Time: 2:00 pm  
27 Judge: Honorable Vaughn R. Walker  
28 Place: Courtroom 6, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor

1 I, Laura T. Geyer, declare as follows:

2       1. I am an attorney with the law firm of Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue, counsel for  
 3 plaintiff Network Caching Technology, L.L.C. ("NCT"). I have personal knowledge of the facts  
 4 set forth in this declaration and could and would competently testify thereto under oath if called  
 5 as a witness.

6       2. Attached hereto as **Exhibit A** is a true and correct copy of two letters from Blaney  
 7 Harper to Monte M.F. Cooper dated April 9, 2002.

8       3. Attached hereto as **Exhibit B** is a chart summarizing the patents, claims, and  
 9 Accused Products named in NCT's Second Revised Preliminary Infringement Contentions.

10      4. Attached hereto as **Exhibit C** is a true and correct copy of portions of NCT's  
 11 Second Revised Preliminary Infringement Contentions Appendix A-1 ("Accused Novell Product:  
 12 BorderManager") that was served by NCT on April 15, 2002.

13      5. Attached hereto as **Exhibit D** is a true and correct copy of documents bates  
 14 numbered NCT 10657-10669, which are excerpts from the infringement analysis provided to  
 15 Novell in April 2000 (bates numbered NCT 10600-10970).

16      6. Attached hereto as **Exhibit E** is a true and correct copy of documents bates  
 17 numbered NCT 10657 and NCT 10670-10671, which are excerpts from the infringement analysis  
 18 provided to Novell in April 2000 (bates numbered NCT 10600-10970).

19      7. Attached hereto as **Exhibit F** is a true and correct copy of documents bates  
 20 numbered NCT 10657 and NCT 10672-10673, which are excerpts from the infringement analysis  
 21 provided to Novell in April 2000 (bates numbered NCT 10600-10970).

22      8. Attached hereto as **Exhibit G** is a true and correct copy of documents bates  
 23 numbered NCT 10657 and NCT 10674-10678, which are excerpts from the infringement analysis  
 24 provided to Novell in April 2000 (bates numbered NCT 10600-10970).

25      9. Attached hereto as **Exhibit H** is a true and correct copy of documents bates  
 26 numbered NCT 10657 and NCT 10679-10683, which are excerpts from the infringement analysis  
 27 provided to Novell in April 2000 (bates numbered NCT 10600-10970).

10. Attached hereto as **Exhibit I** is a true and correct copy of documents bates numbered NCT 10657 and NCT 10684-10686, which are excerpts from the infringement analysis provided to Novell in April 2000 (bates numbered NCT 10600-10970).

11. Attached hereto as **Exhibit J** is a true and correct copy of documents bates numbered NCT 10657 and NCT 10687-10695, which are excerpts from the infringement analysis provided to Novell in April 2000 (bates numbered NCT 10600-10970).

12. Attached hereto as **Exhibit K** is a true and correct copy of documents bates numbered NCT 10657 and NCT 10696-10699, which are excerpts from the infringement analysis provided to Novell in April 2000 (bates numbered NCT 10600-10970).

13. Attached hereto as **Exhibit L** is a true and correct copy of documents bates numbered NCT 10702-10712, which are excerpts from the infringement analysis provided to Novell in April 2000 (bates numbered NCT 10600-10970).

14. Attached hereto as **Exhibit M** is a true and correct copy of documents bates numbered NCT 10702 and NCT 10713-10714, which are excerpts from the infringement analysis provided to Novell in April 2000 (bates numbered NCT 10600-10970).

15. Attached hereto as **Exhibit N** is a true and correct copy of documents bates numbered NCT 10702 and NCT 10715, which are excerpts from the infringement analysis provided to Novell in April 2000 (bates numbered NCT 10600-10970).

16. Attached hereto as **Exhibit O** is a true and correct copy of documents bates numbered NCT 10702 and NCT 10716-10717, which are excerpts from the infringement analysis provided to Novell in April 2000 (bates numbered NCT 10600-10970).

17. Attached hereto as **Exhibit P** is a true and correct copy of documents bates numbered NCT 10702 and NCT 10718-10722, which are excerpts from the infringement analysis provided to Novell in April 2000 (bates numbered NCT 10600-10970).

18. Attached hereto as **Exhibit Q** is a true and correct copy of documents bates numbered NCT 10702 and NCT 10723-10727, which are excerpts from the infringement analysis provided to Novell in April 2000 (bates numbered NCT 10600-10970).

1           19. Attached hereto as **Exhibit R** is a true and correct copy of documents bates  
 2 numbered NCT 10702 and NCT 10728-10735, which are excerpts from the infringement analysis  
 3 provided to Novell in April 2000 (bates numbered NCT 10600-10970).

4           20. Attached hereto as **Exhibit S** is a true and correct copy of documents bates  
 5 numbered NCT 10702 and NCT 10736-10738, which are excerpts from the infringement analysis  
 6 provided to Novell in April 2000 (bates numbered NCT 10600-10970).

7           21. Attached hereto as **Exhibit T** is a true and correct copy of a document bates  
 8 numbered NOVL 13267-13280 that was cited by NCT in Appendix A-1 of its Second Revised  
 9 Preliminary Infringement Contentions.

10          22. Attached hereto as **Exhibit U** is a true and correct copy of the District Court  
 11 opinion in *View Engineering, Inc. v. Robotic Vision Systems, Inc.*, granting sanctions on six of the  
 12 eight patents at issue, (No. CV-95-1882 (C.D. Cal. June 24, 1996)), which was affirmed by the  
 13 Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit in *View Engineering, Inc. v. Robotic Vision Systems,*  
 14 *Inc.*, 208 F.3d 981 (Fed. Cir. 2000).

15          23. Attached hereto as **Exhibit V** is a true and correct copy of the Declaration of  
 16 Roger S. Thompson, cited by the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit in the case of *View*  
 17 *Engineering, Inc. v. Robotic Vision Systems, Inc.*, 208 F.3d 981 (Fed. Cir. 2000).

18          24. Attached hereto as **Exhibit W** is a true and correct copy of a declaration by John  
 19 C. Mitchell, Professor of Computer Science at Stanford University, prepared June 5, 2002.

20          25. Attached hereto as **Exhibit X** is a true and correct copy of the transcript from the  
 21 March 15, 2002 hearing before Judge Walker.

23           Executed this 6th day of June, 2002, in Washington, District of Columbia.

24           I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the  
 25 foregoing is true and correct.

27           /s/ Laura T. Geyer

28           Laura T. Geyer

Case No. CV-01-2079 (VRW)

GEYER DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF NCT'S OPPOSITION TO NOVELL AND VOLERA'S MOTION  
 TO STRIKE NCT'S SECOND REVISED PRELIMINARY INFRINGEMENT CONTENTIONS